



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,668	09/07/2006	Akihiro Tsuchiya	113197-048	8674
24573	7590	05/22/2009	EXAMINER	
K&L Gates LLP			HUYNH, LOUIS K	
P.O. Box 1135				
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/598,668	Applicant(s) TSUCHIYA ET AL.	
	Examiner Louis K. Huynh	Art Unit 3721	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Louis K. Huynh. (3) Mr. Jeffrey Ingalls.

(2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Proposed amendment telefaxed on 5/20/2009 (see attachment).

Claim(s) discussed: 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,782,063.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presents the differences between the claimed device of the present application and the device of the applied prior art. The examiner agrees that the proposed claim language would structurally define the claimed device over the art of record; however, further consideration and/or search must be conducted prior to patentability can be determined.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Louis K. Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721	
---	--